


EUROPE IN THE MULTIPOLAR WORLD

From 2025 onward

Current directions for the US

Inner Wheel
United States of America
Natal Chart
 4 Jul 1776 NS, Thu
 17:10 LMT +5:00:39
 Philadelphia, PA
 39°N57'08"G 075°W09'51"
Geocentric
Tropical
Placidus
True Node




Middle Wheel
United States of America
Event of 3 Apr 2023
Directed - Solar Arc
 3 Apr 2023, Mon
 09:29 EDT +4:00
 Philadelphia, PA
 39°N57'08"G 075°W09'51"
Geocentric
Tropical
Placidus
True Node

True Node
Placidus
Tropical
Geocentric
 39°N57'08"G 075°W09'51"
 Philadelphia, PA
 09:29 EDT +4:00
 3 Apr 2023, Mon
Transits Chart
Event of 3 Apr 2023
United States of America
 Outer Wheel

Current directions for the EU

Inner Wheel
European union
Natal Chart
 1 Nov 1993, Mon
 00:01 CET -1:00
 Brussels, Belgium
 50°N50'G 004°E20'
Geocentric
Tropical
Placidus
True Node



Middle Wheel
European union
Event of 3 Apr 2023
Directed - Solar Arc
 3 Apr 2023, Mon
 15:42:35 CET -1:00
 Brussels, Belgium
 50°N50'G 004°E20'
Geocentric
Tropical
Placidus
True Node

True Node
Placidus
Tropical
Geocentric
 50°N50'G 004°E20'
 Brussels, Belgium
 15:42:35 CET -1:00
 3 Apr 2023, Mon
Transits Chart
Event of 3 Apr 2023
European union
 Outer Wheel

The 250-year cycle and Pluto in relation to Europe

- **2025:** our present challenges, the decline of the West and rise of the East, Pluto in Aquarius from this year, changes in the world economic/financial system
- **1775:** American Revolutionary war, 1st industrial revolution, fall of European monarchies, the rise of modern society, Pluto was in Aquarius from 1778
- **1525:** Protestant Reformation, height of Italian Renaissance, Collapse of the authority of the Catholic Church, the rise of Modern Europe, Copernican solar-centric solar system Pluto was in Aquarius starting 1532
- **1275;** Proto-Renaissance, the Mongol Empire, the first stirrings of European culture, Pluto in Aquarius from 1287

The possible world orders (post WWII)

Externalisation of the Hierarchy, pp. 639, 640

- 1. [This one is no longer possible nor desired by Russia] **An all-dominant Russia**, whose regime would cover the planet, enforcing her totalitarian interpretation of communistic doctrine (there is a right and true interpretation), refusing freedom to the individual in the interest of the State, and—because of a low opinion of the human masses—everywhere standardising her interpretation of democracy.
- 2. [This is what we currently face] **A world in which all nations live in an armed armistice**, in which distrust is forever rampant and in which science is prostituted to the art of destruction. In this world an explosion must and will eventually take place which will destroy humanity as once before it was destroyed, according to the Bible and the other world Scriptures and the hierarchical records.
- 3. [This was attempted after the fall of the Soviet Union, but is rapidly failing] **A world in which the United States proves itself to be the controlling factor, after wiping out Russia**, which she can well do if she acts now [too late]. It will be a predominantly capitalistic world, run by several nations but headed by the United States. [This happened, but is currently being broken up due to corrupt practices] A capitalistic nation is not necessarily wrong; capital has its place, and Russia (the enemy of capitalism) [no longer] is by no means free from capitalistic bias. The motives of the United States are very mixed motives: greed of money or its equivalent, such as oil, and at the same time sincerely good intentions for the establishment of human freedom in a democratic world—modelled, of course, on American democracy. Other motives are an appreciation of the armed fist and, at [Page 639] the same time, a longing for economic sharing and for that essential kindness which is such a strong American characteristic—a mass characteristic. These mixed motives will produce eventually a very confused world, one in which it will be found that humanity has learned very little as the result of the World War (1914-1945) and is acquiescent to the cycle of well-intentioned money control. [This is currently being re-thought]

Post-WWII order, cont'd

- 4. **A world divided into “blocs” for mutual aid and economic sharing.** [This is what is emerging] Of this, the proposed treaty between Great Britain, France and the Benelux countries is a tentative sample, [which became the EU] though tainted by objectionable motives, from the angle of the Hierarchy. Fear is the major factor producing this treaty, but it has in it nevertheless the seeds of hope. There is nothing intrinsically wrong in any group of nations standing together for mutual aid and economic cooperation. The wrong factor comes in when they stand united against any other group of nations, and therefore against any group of human beings. [BRICS, the SCO and ASEAN are not against the US and Western financial interests, but they do stand against being leveraged negatively by those interests.] It is this attitude, engineered and fostered by Russia, which has led to the relatively new concept of blocs against. [Russia is rapidly moving away from that ‘against’ model] Along this line, and with this attitude of antagonistic groupings, only disaster can lie. [I would posit that the latter two sentences lie in the past and are no longer a major concern. It is quickly moderating, with the US and UK resisting.]


Blocs

Blocs in themselves can be good and proper if they follow lines of natural cleavages, of language differences and of cultural distinctions. They can be essentially right if they are formed for economic, educational, religious and social aims and can therefore provide no true cause for alarm. Such blocs would be cultural [as in the map, above] and not militaristic, economic and not greedy, and they could provide a normal and progressive movement away from the separative nationalism of the past and towards the distant creation of the One World, and the One Humanity. This will some day be seen, but the time is not yet. Mankind is not ready for some super-government, nor can it yet provide the unselfish and trained statesmen that such a government would require. As yet, there are more seeds of danger in this concept than there are of helpfulness. Nevertheless, it is a dream which will some day materialise, after the creation and the functioning of blocs have proved how men should work and live together.


The emerging economic/trade blocs deemed advisable: p. 200, *Externalisation of the Hierarchy*

- One based on the world democracies: The US, UK, Commonwealth states and northern Europe, including Scandinavia and Germany
- One based around the Russian Federation, China and eventually Japan, along with the rest of Asia and Eastern Europe
- One based on the Latin and Mediterranean nations including France, and some of the Balkan states and South America. [the latter due to the influences of France, Portugal and Spain]

British Commonwealth



The Romance-speaking world



ASEAN

ASEAN Member Countries



MYANMAR



THAILAND



CAMBODIA



SINGAPORE



INDONESIA



LAOS



VIETNAM




MALAYSIA



PHILIPPINES



BRUNEI DARUSSALAM



EAEU (in violet)



The G7

G7 members



